anynines

10 Years of Automating PostgreSQL. A Recap.

Introduction















anynines









10 Years





15 actually!











This Talk



This Talk

• Chronological summary

- Different stages of automating PostgreSQL
- How the technological zeitgeist impacted automation over time
- Learnings (as an individual as well as an organization)
- How to scale-out operations from dozens to thousands of machines





The Perspective







Aspects of Interest

- Infrastructure: physical, VM-hosts, virtual infrastructures.
- Automation technology: imperative, declarative.
- Lifecycle mgmt. coverage of automation: CRUD service instances, service bindings, backups & restore, configuration, upgrades, high-availabilty, etc.
- Assets under management: Physical / virtual machines && Pods / containers.
- **Operational responsibility**: Platform operator &&|| Application developer
- **PostgreSQL at the time**: HA & cluster management, upgrades, security, ...





vnines

Assets Under 150001-Management Chef 1.00 Shell 2010









Imperative Automation







Stage 1: Physical servers ~2008-2009









Physical Machines



- Best performance per €/\$?
- Servers are dimensioned with respect to peak loads and are idle 90% of the time.
- networking.
- not flexible enough).
- Dependency to the data center staff for some tasks \rightarrow Delays

• Building clusters requires flexible data centers allowing the wiring of private

• Often servers have contract lifetimes of several years (off-the-shelf DCs often











• Shell scripts

- **Coverage**: Supporting repetitive tasks
- Mostly manually managed OS
- OS provided software packages



- Striving for a maximum uptime as fixing failed servers requires manual intervention and takes hours to fully recover.
- Failures have strong impact on the Sysop's sleep.
 - Long TTR
- Manually executed tasks come with a human error rate



- PostgreSQL
 - Looking for ways to make PostgreSQL highly available
 - Blocklevel || filesystem level replication, e.g. GlusterFS
 - Sync and async replication
 - Pacemaker



Stage 2: Virtual servers $\sim 2009 - 2011$









Virtual Machines



- Automanually managed Xen & XVM hosts
- Increased hardware utilization
- Lower barrier of entry: virtual clusters
- VMs → More machines to manage → More automation needed







chef



- Configuration management
- Centralized cookbooks
 - Better reusability of code
 - Less code duplicity

• More efficient that shell scripts



PostgreSQL

- Async replication has proven to be the best all-round approach.
- Pacemaker and, later, repmgr

 Shared HA-PostgreSQL cluster to lower the barrier of entry (VM app servers + shared virtual or physical DB server) vs. dedicated virtual DB-servers.



- Limitations
 - automation.
 - become limiting factors.
 - The team's utilization increased.

• Training new team members was hard as, despite of the cookbooks, still a lot of knowledge was necessary to operate the application systems.

• **State drift!** Manual intervention necessary although (theoretically) covered by

• Increasing efforts for maintenance, refactoring and network management







The Game Changer







On-demand provisioning of dedicated PostgreSQL instances based on declarative automation.



Declarative Automation















Kubernetes



Shift in operational responsibility: App devs operate their own databases.











Stage 3: Virtual infrastructures $\sim 2012 - 2023$







Virtual Infrastructures The programmable data center





Dealing with State







Where to store state?







Store state on a remotely attached block device = persistent disk.













Infrasstructure API

VIRTUAL DATACENTER

Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), e.g. OpenStack




The data lifecycle has been decoupled from the VM lifecycle \Rightarrow The VM becomes disposable.







Ephemeral VM, persistent disk.

















Predictable & repeatable deployments. No state-drift.





Virtual Infrastructures

PostgreSQL

- Sync and Async Streaming replication
- Repmgr and Patroni
- Logic backups and PITR backups





Stage 4: Container infrastructures $\sim 2015 - 2023$







Kubernetes







Kubernetes

- Declarative automation
- ~ Infrastructure abstraction API
- API standardization & Open framework for automation
- Container isolation & Noisy neighbor issues

• Often: VM automation underneath.





Container Infrastructures

PostgreSQL

• Sync and Async Streaming replication

• Patroni as a cluster manager













Summary & Conclusion







Infrastructure	Automation Paradigm	Automation Technology	Operated by	Coverage	Machines / Devop
Physical machines	Imperative	Shell scripts	Sysop	Simple repetitive tasks	A few dozen
(Semi-) Manually Managed VM Hosts	Imperative	Chef	Devop	Parts of the lifecycle. Devops centric.	A few hundred
Virtual Infrastructure	Declarative	BOSH	Automation: Devop Database: App Dev	Full lifecycle management	Thousands to ten thousands
Virtual or Physical Infrastructure	Declarative	Kubernetes & K8s Add-ons	Automation: Devop Database: App Dev	Full lifecycle management	Thousands to ten thousands



Assets Under 150001-Management Chef 1.00 Shell 2010









Summary

- Virtualization, EVM-PD
- Declarative automation
- Increased automation friendliness of PG



O anynınes











a9s Elasticsearch



a9s MongoDB





a9s Data Services

a9s LogMe

Questions? a9s Redis Coanynine Sa9s MySQL Ofischerjulian

a9s PostgreSQL

a9s RabbitMQ





a9s Elasticsearch

a9s MongoDB





a9s Data Services



a9s PostgreSQL

a9s RabbitMQ



Data Service Automation







"<u>Fully</u> automating the <u>entire</u> lifecycle of a <u>wide</u> range of data services to run on <u>cloud-native</u> platforms across infrastructures at scale."





«K8s Cluster»

Operator

Service Instance (Operand)



A single K8s cluster with a single service instance managed by a single Operator.



«K8s Cluster»

Service Instance (Operand)

Service Instance (Operand)

Operator

Service Instance (Operand)

Service Instance (Operand)





A single K8s cluster with multiple service-instances managed by a single Operator.



«K8s Cluster»







A single K8s cluster with multiple service-instances managed by a multiple Operators.







Many K8s clusters each with multiple service-instances managed by a multiple Operators.



100s or 1000s ofdata service instances!





Scale Matters!













Each data service instance matters!











Methodology









- Know your target audience. **Requirements** and desired **qualities**.
- Choose your data services, wisely. Be aware of open source licenses.
- Strive for full lifecycle automation.
- On-demand provisioning of dedicated service instances.
- Rebuild failed instances instead of fixing them.
 - Design for scalability.





- Operational model first, automation second.
- Be a **backup/restore** hero.
- Solve issues on the **framework** level, fine-tune data service specifically.
- **Test** code. **Test** service instances. **Test** desired and undesired behavior.
- Provide meaningful default configuration values. Except custom config parameters.





- Don't touch upstream code, except for ...
- Master release management
- **Deliver releases** into target environments quickly
- Collect feedback from users (e.g. through support)
- Provide meaningful documentation. Better documentation, less support.





Data Service Automation with Kubernetes







Ways to Implement an "Operator"







Data Service Automation with K8s

• Kubernetes CRDs + Custom Controllers

• Operator SDK









Stages of Development



Data Service Automation with K8s

- Operational Model Level 1: What a sysop/DBA would do.
- Operational Model Level 2: Containerization, YAML + kubect1
- Operational Model Level 3: Operator
- Operational Model Level 4: Operator Lifecycle Management






CRDS



```
apiVersion: apiextensions.k8s.io/v1
kind: CustomResourceDefinition
metadata:
 # name must match the spec fields below, and be in the form: <plural>.<group>
  name: pgs.ds.a9s.io
spec:
 # group name to use for REST API: /apis/<group>/<version>
  group: ds.a9s.io
  # list of versions supported by this CustomResourceDefinition
  versions:
   - name: v1
     # Each version can be enabled/disabled by Served flag.
     served: true
     # One and only one version must be marked as the storage version.
     storage: true
     schema:
       openAPIV3Schema:
         type: object
         description: Yeah! Science!
         properties:
           spec:
             type: object
             required: ["replicas"]
             properties:
               postgresVersion:
                 type: string
                 # pattern: major.minor.patchlevel or major.minor > determine patchlevel automatically
                 default: "12.2"
               # postgresPlugins:
               # type: array
               replicas:
                 type: integer
                 # pattern: 2n+1
                 minimum: 1
                 default: 1
  # either Namespaced or Cluster. Namespaced as data service instances should belong to a namespace.
  scope: Namespaced
  names:
   # plural name to be used in the URL: /apis/<group>/<version>/<plural>
   plural: pgs
   # singular name to be used as an alias on the CLI and for display
   singular: pg
   # kind is normally the CamelCased singular type. Your resource manifests use this.
    kind: PostgreSQL
   # shortNames allow shorter string to match your resource on the CLI
    shortNames:
     – pg
     – pgs
```



anynines

apiVersion: ds.a9s.io/v1 kind: PostgreSQL metadata: name: pg-1 spec: postgresVersion: "12.2" replicas: 3







K8s CRDs

- CRD = Custom Resource Definition
- Introduce custom data structures to Kubernetes
- Kubernetes provides an endpoint for managing these objects
- Kubernetes provides persistency by storing them in its etcd.









Controllers



// [...]

// add adds a new Controller to mgr with r as the reconcile.Reconciler func add(mgr manager.Manager, r reconcile.Reconciler) error {

```
// Create a new controller
// [...]
```

```
// Watch for changes to primary resource Memcached
if err != nil {
  return err
```

// TODO(user): Modify this to be the types you create that are owned by the primary resource // Watch for changes to secondary resource Pods and requeue the owner Memcached err = c.Watch(&source.Kind{Type: &corev1.Pod{}}, &handler.EnqueueRequestForOwner{ IsController: true, &cachev1alpha1.Memcached{}, OwnerType:

})

// [...]



err = c.Watch(&source.Kind{Type: &cachev1alpha1.Memcached{}}, &handler.EnqueueRequestForObject{})



```
func (r *ReconcileMemcached) Reconcile(request reconcile.Request)
(reconcile.Result, error) {
  reqLogger := log.WithValues("Request.Namespace", request.Namespace,
"Request.Name", request.Name)
 reqLogger.Info("Reconciling Memcached")
 // Fetch the Memcached instance
  instance := &cachev1alpha1.Memcached{}
err := r.client.Get(context.TODO(), request.NamespacedName, instance) //
Retrieve the object
 if err != nil {
    if errors.IsNotFound(err) {
      // Request object not found, could have been deleted after reconcile
request.
      // Owned objects are automatically garbage collected. For additional
cleanup logic use finalizers.
      // Return and don't requeue
      return reconcile.Result{}, nil
   // Error reading the object - requeue the request.
   return reconcile.Result{}, err
 // Define a new Pod object (similar to a YAML Spec)
 pod := newPodForCR(instance)
 if err := controllerutil.SetControllerReference(instance, pod, r.scheme);
err != nil {
    return reconcile.Result{}, err
```



```
// Check if this Pod already exists
found := &corev1.Pod{} // Empty Pod object
```

err = r.client.Get(context.TODO(), types.NamespacedName{Name: pod.Name, Namespace: pod.Namespace}, found)

// If an error occurs and in particular the error is of the type NotFound then we know the Pod doesn't exist.

```
if err != nil && errors.IsNotFound(err) {
```

```
reqLogger.Info("Creating a new Pod", "Pod.Namespace", pod.Namespace,
"Pod.Name", pod.Name)
```

```
// Create the secondary objects ... in this case a single pod.
    err = r.client.Create(context.TODO(), pod)
   if err != nil {
     return reconcile.Result{}, err
   // Pod created successfully - don't requeue
   return reconcile.Result{}, nil
  } else if err != nil {
    return reconcile.Result{}, err
 // Pod already exists - don't requeue
 reqLogger.Info("Skip reconcile: Pod already exists", "Pod.Namespace",
found.Namespace, "Pod.Name", found.Name)
 return reconcile.Result{}, nil
```







K8s Controllers

- Read custom resource object specifications
- Translate primary resources into a set of secondary resources.
- E.g. a **PostgreSQL** resource into a **Service** and a **StatefulSet**.
- Watches the primary spec for changes.
- Ensures secondary resources to comply to the desired state of the primary's spec.





Common Pitfalls







• Underestimate complexity and effort

- Insufficient coverage of essential lifecycle operations
- Too little robustness, observability and predictability
- Applying automation that doesn't fit the context





What Organizations Want







Expose lifecycle operations using Kubernetes Custom Resources (CRDs)

- On-Demand Provisioning of Dedicated Service-Instances
- Allow configuration updates
- Provide **monitoring** of health and status
- Infrastructure-agnostic
- Runs on different Kubernetes flavors.
- Authentication with dedicated user for each application accessing the DSI



• Horizontal 2n+1 DSI scalability: 1, 3, 5

- clustered service instances.
- Host-anti-affinity. Support for **multiple AZs**.
- pods,...
- Provide **backup and restore** capabilities with the ability to create backup schedules.



• Automatic failure detection and **fail-over**. Self-healing to recover degraded

• Vertical DSI scalability: replace small pods with larger pods with even larger



- Stream backups to external object stores.
- Allow choosing data service versions.
- Documentation.
- Encryption at rest and encryption at transit.







The Long Life of a Service Instance







Data Service Automation





Network Delay Fluctuation

Network Bandwidth Fluctuation

Network Partitioning

Availability Zone Failure

Kubernetes Node Failure







Service Bindings



Service Bindings





A Service Binding represents the connection between an app and a data service instance.



Service Bindings





A Service Binding comprises a Kubernetes Secret as well as a user in the managed data service, e.g. a PostgreSQL user.

Both user and secret are unique to a particular Service Binding.







Backups



Backups





A single K8s cluster with multiple service-instances managed by a multiple Operators.







Technology



Writing Controllers







Reconciling External Resources











How to reconcile the postgres user?



«Custom Resource» Service Binding #1

«Secret»

Secret #1











CREATE USER



Careful ! This is not a transaction. Atomicity is not guaranteed.

«Custom Resource» Service Binding #1

«Secret»

Secret #1

pg-user CR created







«Custom Resource» Service Binding #1

«Secret» Secret #1



Inconsistent state.







Be prepared to re-reconcile by making actions idempotent.







CREATE USER IF NOT EXISTS







«Custom Resource» Service Binding #1

«Secret» Secret #1











Summary





a9s Elasticsearch



a9s MongoDB





a9s Data Services

a9s LogMe

Questions? a9s Redis Coanynine Sa9s MySQL Ofischerjulian

a9s PostgreSQL

a9s RabbitMQ





a9s Elasticsearch

a9s MongoDB





a9s Data Services



a9s PostgreSQL

a9s RabbitMQ

